

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,671	03/18/2004		Timothy G. Offerle	81095823FGT1905	2670
28549	7590	08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
KEVIN G. 1	MIERZW	VA	TO, TUAN C		
ARTZ & AR	TZ, P.C.				
28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034				3663	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/708,671	OFFERLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan C To	3663	Mw				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	<u>farch 2004</u> .						
	ı) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-8, 11-17, 18, 20-27, and 29-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5,9,10,19 and 28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment/c)	•						
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Neterences Cited (PTO-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/18/04, 06/14/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		D-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail D	ate 08082004				

١

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the "Cross Reference to Related Applications" section, the <u>U.S application numbers</u> of the related applications have not been provided. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "172" and "171" have both been used to designate "trailer coupler". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In addition, in the specification, none of detailed of description of the figure 5B has been provided.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 06/14/2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the

relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, three of foreign patent documents listed in the IDS are not in the English language (DE 3625025, De 4224887, EP 0295396). The reference to Lux et al (GB 2188012) has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of

35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 11, 13-16, 18, 20-23, 25-27, 29-32, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritz et al. (U.S. 20020060103A1), and in view of Yone (U.S. 20030172757A1).

Claim 1:

With respect to claim 1, the reference to Ritz et al. basically discloses a vehicle control system and a method for steering supporting braking action, wherein said vehicle is equipped with a steering system (24) and the braking system (30) (Ritz et al., figure 1; page 2, paragraph [0028]; page 3, paragraph [0029]. Although Ritz et al. teach the vehicle has a an electronic traction control system, a steering-supporting braking torque, in order to reduce the turning radius or improve maneuverability, Ritz et al. do not disclose the step of generating a reverse direction signal corresponding to a reverse direction of the vehicle. The secondary reference to Yone is directed to a transmission operating apparatus for a vehicle comprising: a position detecting means for detecting the position of a shift lever manipulated by a driver (Yone, figure 1, page 2, paragraph [0023]) and the controller (4) generates a reverse direction signal in response to the reverse position of the shift lever (Yone, page 2, paragraph [0023]; figure 1, controller 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ritz et al. to include the teaching as taught by Yone so that the vehicle is prevented from producing any

Page 5

Art Unit: 3663

reactive steering assist torque which could worsen the behavior of the vehicle when the vehicle is moving rearward.

With regard to claims 2 and 4, the reference to Yone, as discussed above, includes the shift position lever detecting means for detecting the position of the shift lever. The computer (4) shown in figure 4 of Yone generates the reverse direction signal in response to the said detecting means.

With regard to claim 5, the reference to Ritz et al. discloses a vehicle system for improving the steering to combine with teaching of Yone to produce the claimed limitation of claim 1. Ritz et al. also disclose that the steering system (25) (Ritz et al., figure 1) that include the wheel speed sensor or steering wheel angle sensor. It should be noted that the wheel speed sensor detects the rotation of the wheel.

With regard to claims 6 and 7, the reference to Ritz et al. teaches that the act of braking the wheel inside the curve resulting in the additional drive torque being generated on the wheel outside the curve. And therefore, the control system generate steering-supporting braking torque on the inside curve wheel. The result is the turning radius is reduced (Ritz et al, abstract; page 1, paragraph [0014]; page 2, paragraphs [0015], [0016], [0017]).

With regard to claim 8, the system and method disclosed by Ritz et al. teaches that the steering-supporting braking is applied to the non-driven wheels (Ritz et al., figure 1, left front wheel 2VL, right front wheel 2VR).

With regard to claim 11, the vehicle system disclosed in Ritz et al. includes a steering system (24) and a braking system (30), wherein said steering system

comprises a steering angle (26) for detecting the steering wheel angle when the vehicle is being braked or steered (Ritz et al., figure 1, steering system 24, steering wheel angle sensor 26).

With regard to claim 13, the system and method disclosed by Ritz et al. including the steering wheel torque is generated based on the steering system (24) and braking system (30).

With regard to claim 14, the vehicle control system and method disclosed in Ritz et al. teaches that the control system (23) monitors the vehicle velocity (Ritz et al., page 3, paragraph [0031].

Claim 15:

With respect to claim 15, the reference to Ritz et al. basically discloses a vehicle control system and a method for steering supporting braking action, wherein said vehicle is equipped with a steering system (24) and the braking system (30) (Ritz et al., figure 1; page 2, paragraph [0028]; page 3, paragraph [0029]. Although Ritz et al. teach the vehicle has a an electronic traction control system, a steering-supporting braking torque, in order to reduce the turning radius or improve maneuverability, Ritz et al. do not disclose the step of generating a reverse direction signal corresponding to a reverse direction of the vehicle. The secondary reference to Yone is directed to a transmission operating apparatus for a vehicle comprising: a position detecting means for detecting the position of a shift lever manipulated by a driver (Yone, figure 1, page 2, paragraph [0023]) and the controller (4) generates a reverse direction signal in

response to the reverse position of the shift lever (Yone, page 2, paragraph [0023]; figure 1, controller 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ritz et al. to include the teaching as taught by Yone so that the vehicle is prevented from producing any reactive steering assist torque which could worsen the behavior of the vehicle when the vehicle is moving rearward.

With regard to claims 16 and 18, the reference to Yone, as discussed above, includes the shift position lever detecting means for detecting the position of the shift lever. The computer (4) shown in figure 4 of Yone generates the reverse direction signal in response to the said detecting means.

With regard to claims 20-22, the reference to Ritz et al. teaches that the act of braking the wheel inside the curve resulting in the additional drive torque being generated on the wheel outside the curve. And therefore, the control system generate steering-supporting braking torque on the inside curve wheel. The result is the turning radius is reduced (Ritz et al, abstract; page 1, paragraph [0014]; page 2, paragraphs [0015], [0016], [0017]).

With regard to claim 23, the vehicle system disclosed in Ritz et al. includes a steering system (24) and a braking system (30), wherein said steering system comprises a steering angle (26) for detecting the steering wheel angle when the vehicle is being braked or steered (Ritz et al., figure 1, steering system 24, steering wheel angle sensor 26).

With regard to claim 25, the system and method disclosed by Ritz et al. including the steering wheel torque is generated based on the steering system (24) and braking system (30).

With regard to claim 26, the vehicle control system and method disclosed in Ritz et al. teaches that the control system (23) monitors the vehicle velocity (Ritz et al., page 3, paragraph [0031].

Claim 27:

With respect to claim 27, the reference to Ritz et al. basically discloses a vehicle control system and a method for steering supporting braking action, wherein said vehicle is equipped with a steering system (24) and the braking system (30) (Ritz et al., figure 1; page 2, paragraph [0028]; page 3, paragraph [0029]. Although Ritz et al. teach the vehicle has a an electronic traction control system, a steering-supporting braking torque, in order to reduce the turning radius or improve maneuverability, Ritz et al. do not disclose the step of generating a reverse direction signal corresponding to a reverse direction of the vehicle. The secondary reference to Yone is directed to a transmission operating apparatus for a vehicle comprising: a position detecting means for detecting the position of a shift lever manipulated by a driver (Yone, figure 1, page 2, paragraph [0023]) and the controller (4) generates a reverse direction signal in response to the reverse position of the shift lever (Yone, page 2, paragraph [0023]; figure 1, controller 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ritz et al. to include the

teaching as taught by Yone so that the vehicle is prevented from producing any reactive steering assist torque which could worsen the behavior of the vehicle when the vehicle is moving rearward.

With regard to claims 29-31, the reference to Ritz et al. teaches that the act of braking the wheel inside the curve resulting in the additional drive torque being generated on the wheel outside the curve. And therefore, the control system generate steering-supporting braking torque on the inside curve wheel. The result is the turning radius is reduced (Ritz et al, abstract; page 1, paragraph [0014]; page 2, paragraphs [0015], [0016], [0017]).

With regard to claim 32, the vehicle system disclosed in Ritz et al. includes a steering system (24) and a braking system (30), wherein said steering system comprises a steering angle (26) for detecting the steering wheel angle when the vehicle is being braked or steered (Ritz et al., figure 1, steering system 24, steering wheel angle sensor 26).

With regard to claim 34, the system and method disclosed by Ritz et al. including the steering wheel torque is generated based on the steering system (24) and braking system (30).

With regard to claim 35, the vehicle control system and method disclosed in Ritz et al. teaches that the control system (23) monitors the vehicle velocity (Ritz et al., page 3, paragraph [0031].

Claims 3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritz et al. (U.S. 20020060103A1), Yone (U.S. 20030172757A1), and further in view of Kakinami et al. (U.S. 20010026317A1).

With respect to claims 3 and 17, the combination of Ritz et al. and Yone as discussed above discloses the limitations as recited in claims 1 and 15 except for the teaching of "generating a reverse direction signal comprises generating a reverse direction from a push button". The reference to Kakinami et al. has been provided to overcome the missing feature from the teachings of Ritz et al. and Yone. In Kakinami et al. patent, a shift reverse switch (3), which is shown in figure 1, for detecting the reverse mode of the transmission shift lever. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the system of Ritz et al., Yone, and Kakinami et al. in order to notify that the driver is trying to operate the vehicle in rearward instead of forward. And therefore, the starting speed of the vehicle is surely controlled to avoid a possible collision with the object on the back.

Claims 12, 24, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritz et al. (U.S. 20020060103A1), Yone (U.S. 20030172757A1), and further in view of Takagi et al. (U.S. 20030080877A1).

As discussed above, the reference to Ritz et al. and Yone are combined to teach the claimed limitations as recited in claim 1. Neither Ritz et al. nor Yone discloses "applying brake-steer comprises applying brake-steer in response to the reverse direction signal and said yaw rate". The reference to Takagi et al. has been provided as teaching a vehicle system for helping driver during parallel parking or driving rearward, comprising the yaw rate sensor (30) (Takagi et al., figure 1, yaw rate sensor 30) for detecting the yaw rate while the vehicle is being operated turning rearward. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ritz et al., Yone to include the teaching as taught by Takagi et al. in order to help the driver, who operates the vehicle, easily and smoothly control the turning of the vehicle.

Allowable Subject Matter

The examiner has found none of the references of record teaches the following: "generating a reverse direction signal comprises generating a reverse direction from a push button" and "generating a reverse direction signal comprises generating a reverse direction from a wheel speed sensor", "applying brake-steer comprise proportioning brake-steer between a front wheel and a rear wheel", "proportioning comprises proportioning between the front and rear wheel in response to a transfer case mode", and "transfer case having a transfer case mode, said controller changing the transfer case mode based on brake-steer".

Thus, claims 5, 9, 10, 19, and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusions

The prior art made of record, which are listed in PTO-892, and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure includes the following:

Tanaka et al.'s, Takagi et al. (U.S. 458B1), and Sivashankar et al.'s.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (703) 308-6273. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,671

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 12

supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and none for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-1113.

Patent Examiner,

Date: August 8, 2004